

# SKC Master Camp Planning: Meeting Notes

May 4, 2020

16 attendees

## Meeting Notes:

- The team took a deeper look at Chief Logan Reservation (CLR). This continued our conversation from last week when we primarily focused on Falling Rock (FR).
- Dave, our architect, shared that in some respects, CLR is a more challenging piece of property to work with. Nearly 100 acres of it will be difficult to build on.
- The committee reviewed a property map with layouts of where campsites and structures might fit (note, the map was for placement purposes only to see what could fit, not final by any means.)
  - Staff cabins in upper left of property
  - Added an outpost in south camp. Could add a small outfitter building nearby.
  - 6 large (40+) and 15 small campsites (20-man). No medium. Approximately 550 campers.
  - If we build a shooting site, would need to remove a few campsites and relocate the OA ring.
  - No shotgun or clay range.
  - Re: a second ranger house, could possibly put by second gate.
  - 10 adirondacks (20 in FR)
- Dave pointed out that most camps include pools in addition to some natural waterfront. We will check to see if there is any data on youth preferences of a pool or a lake (would need to include recent demographics to account for influx of female Scouts.)
- A pool would give us a more versatile option – can be a controlled environment.
- There is a question about an area of land to the left of CLR. Shows up as owned by SKC on some maps but not others. We are looking into it.
- **CLR Pros:**
  - Bigger lake
  - More central geographically to council
  - Newer dining facility (Capacity is 400. 7 restrooms. Opened in 2008.)
  - County water
  - More compact/shorter walking distances between program areas
  - Larger # of smaller campsites
- **Cons:**
  - More restrictive in terms of capacity/expansion opportunities
  - Road access is a challenge
  - Could not fit everything on the aspirational list
  - No pool
  - Less number of shooting facilities



- Need to explore expansion capabilities. Does that opportunity present itself with FR and CLR?
- Greenfield option is still in play. Example: 603 acres near Circleville, \$1.4 million, undeveloped
- Will present options A, B, C (greenfield, CLR, FR) to Board.
- Need to assess/estimate infrastructure costs – power, sewage, etc. Will be different for each of the three options.
- What else is in the area that might be competition to our property/camp?
- Where are the trends going with what youth will expect in 10 years?
- Awaiting demographic/concentration analysis of youth in our council.
- What currently exists on the properties that can be salvaged? Would impact building/structure costs.
- What endowment would we need to maintain the property?
- For next meeting, Phil and Dave will prepare a side-by-side spreadsheet view of structures/program areas that would fit or not fit within each of our properties, along with estimated costs.
- Will publish minutes and property maps on the CMP website and note that:
  - The maps are for space and terrain purposes/approximations from the best information we have available.
  - The maps are not the proposed camp layouts – they are intended to provide an idea of what could fit on each property..
- Next meeting: Tuesday, May 12 at 6 p.m.

*Sample layout of potential program and structure areas at CLR:*

