

## District Realignment Meeting, 2/17/21

### Meeting Notes

Attendees: Barrett Mohrmann, Chris Sherman, Dale Simpson, Ryan Thompson, Lisa Murray, Ken Heintz, Roger Hottinger, Jeff Moe, Chris Miller, Deanna Biros, Ron Lime, Chip Herr, Lois Griffin, Carla Mings, Phillip Freeman, Jeff Gill, Kayleigh Buck, James Dockter

- Chris Sherman started the meeting at 6:05 p.m.
- Roger Hottinger led the Pledge of Allegiance, the Scout Oath, and the Scout Law.
- “After the meeting last Thursday, and partially in response to some feedback we received from the Key 8, the district alignment scenario group reconvened last night. We also came up with a 4F. I think we should look at 4F and bring everyone up to date and what generated that. We may still have a feedback discussion regarding the south. With that, I’ll turn it over to that group.”
- “We actually started with this 4E proposal. This is the one we adjusted based on the feedback we received since our meeting. I think RT adjusted this and Lois mapped it out. Based on feedback from the Key 8, we’ve again adjusted it and come up with this 4F proposal. Some of the changes we emphasized was making sure all of Licking County ended up in the same district. We also moved Washington Courthouse and Circleville into the southern district. This is the map we ended up with. It balances everything we’re looking at pretty well. We’ve tried to compensate the district travelled with total available youth. That’s currently what we’re looking at. I have a spreadsheet also that has all of this data in it. The 4F is our favorite proposal at this point. We think it’s a good enough proposal that it should be our starting point for discussions tonight.”
- “I know those of us in the meeting last night seemed to feel pretty comfortable calling 4F the preferred with 4E as an alternative. For those not on the meeting last night, I really want your comments and questions on the 4F so that everyone fully understands that and we address those concerns now. Anyone?”
- “I just observe that calling it 4F sounds very negative.”
- “As we go forward, we can just relabel.”
- “Any discussion of 4F? Any open issues or other thoughts from the Ohio Valley side? I know we had a few emails flying around about that earlier? Circleville is in the south, so we met that request. I think we’re at a point where if we’re going to have confidence that we meet the March 18 date, we need to nail down the boundary scenario that we’re going to recommend very quickly. I think we should go in with one recommendation. We need to make it clear that we’re recommending this.”
- “I’m thrilled we’re looking at a top option that keeps Licking County together.”
- “Can I ask why Worthington isn’t in with the blue?”
- “Because of the way we had to draw the map. We’re allocating a lot of Columbus City Schools to Scoutreach.”

- “Scoutreach will continue to operate in a large majority of that gray area.”
- “On the surface, it looks like Worthington is an island, but it’s not.”
- “It just looks like a big area, but they’re all big areas.”
- “Going once, going twice, the only other thing I think unless we have some outstanding questions, is the south. Roger and I had a conversation with one of our vocal chatters from the Key 8. I don’t know that she’s a majority but she’s certainly vocal. Despite the fact that we can do complimentary skill sets with a junior and senior DE, but that wasn’t hitting as an advantage. It’s also not hitting as an advantage that we can have one commissioner team and one committee.”
- “There’s two day camps now in Chief Logan. Troops usually will travel. The cub event after will not have as many. I look at it like you do. It’s a large pool of volunteers to pull from. I just wanted to make sure her voice was heard not just through me.”
- “I was glad to talk with her for an hour and said I would deliver her message. Given an opportunity to help with the transition, to educate and prove that we can make this work, we can probably turn that group around.”
- “I’m happy to sit down for a time and talk about this stuff with her.”
- “There was also some thought processes about having another town hall meeting to do some education and to provide some feedback. I don’t think we have a decision on that.”
- “I would hate to stomp into a communication area without Deanna’s input. I would defer to her and leave it at that.”
- “It would like need to be next week with an emphasis on education. Are we, as a group now, are we comfortable not just putting a boundary recommendation together but also a responsibilities recommendation together to present to the board on March 18? Are there any concerns about meeting that date? It’s not an executive committee meeting, it’s not a full board meeting. Anyone not in favor of doing that? Chris and Jeff, the 18<sup>th</sup> is a go. We’ll most likely have a 4F recommendation along with a operations and responsibilities recommendation to go with it. Did activities, advancement, and camping have an opportunity to meet?”
- “We’ll do breakouts in a minute. I know some additional material was posted to the redistricting page. Otherwise, I don’t think there’s anything on the agenda but the breakouts for district responsibilities. Anyone else have anything?”
- “I’d suggest RT break us down.”
- Participants broke off into sessions at 6:40 p.m.